

**An Answer to Certain Arguments (Raised From Supposed
Antiquity, and Practice), by Some Members of the
Lower House of Parliament to Prove that Ecclesiastical
Laws Ought to be Enacted by Temporal Men (1606?)**

Sir Robert Cotton

Other manuscript witnesses

- British Library, Additional MS 48063, ff. 221r–226r
- British Library, Egerton MS 3378, ff. 10r–14r
- British Library, Cotton MS Titus F IV, ff. 170r–173v
- British Library, Hargrave MS 225, ff. 240r–248v
- British Library, Harley MS 3142, ff. 64r–67r
- Beinecke Library, Osborn b22, ff. 20r–27x?
- Bodleian Library, MS Rawlinson D 1350, ff. 89–91
- Gonville & Caius College, MS 291/274, pp387–391
- Gonville & Caius College, MS 73/40, ff. 162r–164v
- Sheffield Archives, WWM/MS/8, ff. 151r–154r
- Woburn Abbey, MS 4E-60, ff. 231–227

Seventeenth-century print exemplars

- Robert Cotton, *Cottoni Posthuma* (1651), pp. 201–217
- Robert Cotton, *Cottoni Posthuma* (1672), pp. 203–217
- Robert Cotton, *Cottoni Posthuma* (1679), pp. 203–217

Selected Criticism

- Marc L. Schwarz, "'Twenty-Four Arguments': Sir Robert Cotton Confronts the Catholics and the Church of England", *Albion*, 8/1 (1976), 35–49

© 2017–2026 University of Birmingham, University of Bristol. Provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence.

<https://mpese.ac.uk/t/CottonAnswerArgumentsEcclesiasticalLaws.html>